

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS ONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexantria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 021756-013400US 2499	
09/997,409		11/30/2001	Shawn P. Delany	021756-013400US		
51206	7590	07/12/2006	,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AND	CORRIELU	CORRIELUS, JEAN M		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	NCISCO, O	CA 94111-3834	2162			

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/997,409	DELANY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jean M. Corrielus	2162		

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Dist	09/007,400	DEB 1111 E1 71E.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jean M. Corrielus	2162						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a)		a final raigation, which are	reio lator in no					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	· v					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considerable. 	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance					
See Continuation Sheet. 12								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:								
		Jean M Corrieus Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2162						
		AL OHL 2102						

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The cited reference substantially discloses the invention as claimed. In the last office action has provided prima facie evidence as to where each limitation is found the cited reference. Applicants have failed to rebut the examiner's prima facie case of obviousness uses for a different purpose which does not alter the conclusion that its use in a prior art device would be prima facie obvious. The examiner is entitled to the broadest interpretation of the claimed language. The main focus of the Applicant's augments is that Pinard is silence about removing superior items. The removing superior items as argued by the applicant are well detailed in the last office action. Finally, it is not clear to one having ordinary skill in the art how one would remove the auxiliary classes that are superior to the first auxiliary.